**PATENT** 

**REMARKS** 

In the aforementioned Office Action, prosecution is reopened in the midst of appeal.

Applicants elect to file a response pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.111.

In the same Office Action, claims 1-3, 8-10 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §

102(e) as anticipated by Larsson (U.S. Patent No. 6,707,862). In addition, claims 4-7 and 15-16

are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsson in view of Bark et al.

(U.S. Patent No. 6,553,235). Claims 11 and 12 are objected to.

Applicants respectfully disagree and submit that independent claims as pending clearly

define over Larsson. Larsson teaches an estimation of the next data rate in a data frame based on

the bit energy and the data rate of the current frame, and further the bit energy of the most recent

data frame (FIG. 4 and the relevant description on col. 6, lines 17-54 of Larsson). The bit

energy of the most recent data frame of Larsson is not the "data rate history" and certainly not

the "congestion indicator" as claimed by Applicants. As to Bark et al., it has been extensively

distinguished previously.

Nevertheless, to further define over the references, independent claims 1 and 13 have

been amended. Specifically, each of claims 1 and 13 now includes the additional recitation of

receiving "a congestion indicator from a base station" and further the "congestion indicator

includes at least one data bit." Nowhere in the cited references of record can there be found of

any explicit or implied teachings as claimed.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the amended claims are clearly

patentable over the prior art. In the event the amendment fails to convince the Examiner,

Applicants respectfully request the amendment be entered for purposes of appeal.

Attorney Docket No.: 010296

Customer No.: 23696

Page 6 of 7

**PATENT** 

By this amendment, new claims 17-20 have been added. Supports for new claims 17-20

can be found in paragraphs [1055] and [1056] of Applicants' disclosure. New claims 17-20 are

submitted to be patentable for the same reasons claims 1-16 are submitted to be patentable.

In light of the foregoing, the application is believed to be in condition for allowance.

Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

In the event of any fees that may be due or any overpayments that may be associated with

this response, please charge or deposit the amount to Deposit Account No. 17-0026.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 22, 2007

By: /Kam T. Tam/

Kam T. Tam, Reg. No. 35,756

(858) 651-5563

QUALCOMM Incorporated

5775 Morehouse Drive San Diego, California 92121

Telephone: (858) 658-5787 Facsimile: (858) 658-2502

(000) 000 200

Attorney Docket No.: 010296

Customer No.: 23696